



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/058,397	01/28/2002	Michael Wayne Brown	AUS920010520US1	4747
75	90 08/25/2004		EXAM	INER
Marilyn Smith Dawkins			ZHOU, TING	
IBM Corporation, Intellectual Property Law Dept. Internal Zip 4054			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11400 Burnet Road			2173	
Austin, TX 78758			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	T A 10 -40 N1	[A 1! 4/ -)	- 4W/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V			
	10/058,397	BROWN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ting Zhou	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may bly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mode, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			s is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) $oxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 January 2002</u> is/are						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage)			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/2002. S. Patent and Trademark Office.	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date if Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-12, 14-15, 17-21, 23-24 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sciammarella U.S. Patent 6,081,266.

Referring to claim 1, 10 and 19, Sciammarella teaches a method, system and program comprising a graphical user interface (column 1, lines 5-11 and Figure 2), detecting a graphical characteristic of at least one displayable object within a user interface (detecting a feature of a graphical object on the display screen, such as the size or location of the graphical object) (column 1, lines 48-57 and column 2, lines 52-63), and adjusting an audio output of a sound associated with the at least one displayable object to reflect the graphical characteristic, such that the audio output is specified according to a graphical display within the user interface (adjusting the volume of a particular sound associated with the graphical object in correspondence with the characteristic of the graphical object) (column 3, lines 20-40).

Referring to claims 2, 11 and 20, Sciammarella teaches detecting the graphical characteristic of the at least one displayable object, wherein the graphical characteristic comprises a graphical position of the at least one displayable object (user manipulating the

Art Unit: 2173

position, or location of the graphical object on the screen) (column 2, lines 52-63, column 4, lines 5-18 and column 4, lines 50-67 through column 5, lines 1-10).

Referring to claims 3, 12 and 21, Sciammarella teaches adjusting the audio output of the sound, wherein a positional source of the audio output reflects the graphical position of the at least one displayable object (adjusting the audio output of the sound associated with the graphical object by manipulating the position, or location of the graphical object on the screen) (column 2, lines 52-63, column 4, lines 5-18 and column 4, lines 50-67 through column 5, lines 1-10).

Referring to claims 5, 14 and 23, Sciammarella teaches detecting the graphical characteristic of the at least one displayable object, wherein the graphical characteristic is determined by a resource utilization of the at least one displayable object (adjusting the audio output according to the detected size of the graphical object, or the amount of screen space utilized by the graphical object) (column 3, lines 20-40).

Referring to claims 6, 15 and 24, Sciammarella teaches adjusting the sound according to an environmental effect associated with the at least one displayable object (adjusting the volume of the output sound according to an environmental effect of the graphical object, such as a change in size or location of the object) (column 3, lines 20-40 and column 4, lines 7-16).

Referring to claims 8, 17 and 26, Sciammarella teaches adjusting the audio output of the sound according to user audio preferences (the user can drag the graphical object from one side of the screen to another to adjust the audio balance between the left and right channels) (column 4, lines 50-67 through column 5, lines 1-10).

Referring to claims 9, 18 and 27, Sciammarella teaches adjusting the audio output of the sound associated with the at least one displayable object to reflect the graphical characteristic, wherein a positional source of the audio output reflects a position of the at least one displayable object (adjusting the position source of the audio output, or the balance between the left and right audio output channels to reflect the corresponding position of the graphical object) (column 4, lines 50-67 through column 5, lines 1-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4, 7, 13, 16, 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sciammarella U.S. Patent 6,081,266, as applied to claims 1, 10 and 19 above, and further in view of Gibson U.S. Patent 5,812,688.

Referring to claims 4, 13 and 22, Sciammarella teaches all of the limitations as applied to claims 1, 10 and 19 above. Specifically, Sciammarella teaches detecting the graphical characteristic of the at least one displayable object (detecting a feature of a graphical object on the display screen, such as the size or location of the graphical object) (Sciammarella: column 1, lines 48-57 and column 2, lines 52-63). However, Sciammarella fails to explicitly teach the graphical characteristic comprises a transparency of the at least one displayable object. Gibson

Art Unit: 2173

teaches an interface that adjusts the audio output according to graphical characteristics of displayed objects (correlating selected audio characteristics of the audio signal to selected visual characteristics of the visual image) (Gibson: column 3, lines 15-24) similar to that of Sciammarella. In addition, Gibson further teaches detecting the graphical characteristic of the at least one displayable object, wherein the graphical characteristic comprises a transparency of the at least one displayable object (using the visual transparence or translucence of graphical objects to enable multiple sounds from a plurality of objects to be heard simultaneously and distinctly) (Gibson: column 6, lines 15-20 and column 7, lines 19-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Sciammarella and Gibson before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the interface for adjusting the audio output according to graphical characteristics of Sciammarella to include the characteristic of transparency, as taught by Gibson. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to utilize visual images of sounds to control and mix all types of sounds, while allowing the sounds to still be heard distinctly, to achieve a desired sound product.

Referring to claims 7, 16 and 25, Sciammarella teaches all of the limitations as applied to claims 1, 10 and 19 above. Specifically, Sciammarella teaches adjusting the audio output of the sound associated with the at least one displayable object (adjusting the volume of a particular sound associated with the graphical object in correspondence with the characteristic of the graphical object) (Sciammarella: column 3, lines 20-40). However, Sciammarella fails to explicitly teach adjusting the audio output of the sound according to a relative z-order position of the at least one displayable object. Gibson teaches an interface that adjusts the audio output according to graphical characteristics of displayed objects (correlating selected audio

Art Unit: 2173

Page 6

column 3, lines 15-24) similar to that of Sciammarella. In addition, Gibson further teaches adjusting the audio output of the sound associated with the at least one displayable object according to a relative z-order position of the at least one displayable object (correlating an aspect of the audio output signal, such as volume, with the z-location of the sphere in the three-dimensional graphical space shown in Figures 5 and 7a-7b) (Gibson: column 5, lines 34-44 and column 6, lines 10-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Sciammarella and Gibson before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the interface for adjusting the audio output according to graphical characteristics of Sciammarella to include the characteristic of the relative z-order position of the object, as taught by Gibson. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to utilize visual images of sounds to control and mix all types of sounds, while allowing the sounds to still be heard distinctly, to achieve a desired sound product.

3. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach similar methods of adjusting an audio output according to characteristics of a graphical object.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2173

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ting Zhou whose telephone number is (703) 305-0328. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4 August 2004

CAO (KEVIN) NGUYEN

Page 7